

2430 HIGHWAY 34 BUILDING B, SUITE 101 MANASQUAN, NJ 08736 732.592.2101 whitestoneassoc.com

March 16, 2020

via email

HAMMER LAND ENGINEERING

663 Raritan Road Suite E Cranford, New Jersey 07016

Attention: Michael A. Rodrigues, P.E. Associate

Regarding: LIMITED GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION & STORMWATER MANAGEMENT AREA EVALUATION PROPOSED WAREHOUSE DEVELOPMENT 490 ELIZABETH AVENUE BLOCK 514, LOT 34 TOWNSHIP OF FRANKLIN, SOMERSET COUNTY, NEW JERSEY WHITESTONE PROJECT NO.: GS2017025.000

Dear Mr. Rodrigues:

Whitestone Associates, Inc. (Whitestone) has completed a limited geotechnical investigation and preliminary stormwater management (SWM) area evaluation at the above-referenced site. The purpose of the investigation was to evaluate the existing subsurface conditions and provide geotechnical recommendations in support of the proposed warehouse development. Whitestone's scope of services included performing test borings and soil profile pits across the subject site, evaluating the conditions encountered, performing laboratory infiltration testing and documenting estimated seasonal high groundwater levels within the proposed SWM area, and developing geotechnical recommendations for the proposed foundations and related earthwork.

1.0 **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

1.1 Site Location & Existing Conditions

The subject property located at 490 Elizabeth Avenue (Block 514, Lot 34) in Franklin, Somerset County, New Jersey currently is a vacant, moderately- to heavily-wooded parcel.

Based on the January 24, 2020 *Boundary & Topographic Survey* prepared by DPK Consulting, the subject site has a high elevation of 79.49 feet above North America Vertical Datum 1988 (NAVD 88) in the western portion of the site and a low elevation of 72.66 feet above NAVD 88 in the eastern portion of the subject site.

1.2 Site Geology

The subject property is situated within a section of the Piedmont Physiographic Province known as the Newark Basin. Specifically, the subject site is underlain by the Lower Jurassic and Upper Triassic

WARREN, NJ 908.668.7777 CHALFONT, PA 215.712.2700

Other Office Locations: SOUTHBOROUGH, MA 508.485.0755

ROCKY HILL, CT 860.726.7889

EVERGREEN, CO 303.670.6905



Conglomeratic Sandstone member of the Passaic Formation, which is part of the Brunswick Group. The Conglomeratic Sandstone generally consists of brownish-red pebble conglomerate, with medium-grained to coarse-grained feldspathic sandstone and micaceous siltstone, and is cross laminated, burrowed, and locally contains pebble layers. The overburden materials at the site include glacial deposits associated with a Wisconsinan Glacier, residual deposits from the weathering underlying bedrock, and manmade fill.

1.3 Proposed Construction

Based on the January 20, 2020 (last revised) *Concept Plan* prepared by Hammer Land Engineering (Hammer), the proposed redevelopment will include clearing the subject site and constructing an approximately 58,980-square feet warehouse building with an associated SWM facility, septic system, pavements, landscaped areas, and utilities. The proposed warehouse is anticipated to be a single-story structure less than 40 feet in height.

Proposed grades were not available at the time of this report. However, Whitestone anticipates that the proposed building will be developed at or near existing grades. Detailed structural loading information was not available at the time of this report. However, based on Whitestone's past experience with similar structures, the maximum column and wall loads are anticipated to be less than 150 kips and 3.0 kips per foot, respectively. Any revisions or additions to the design details enumerated in this report should be brought to the attention of Whitestone for additional evaluation as warranted.

2.0 FIELD & LABORATORY WORK

2.1 Fieldwork

Field exploration at the project site was conducted by means of six soil test borings (identified as B-1 through B-6) performed with an ATV-mounted drill rig using hollow stem augers and split-spoon sampling techniques and three soil profile pits (identified as SPP-1 through SPP-3) performed with a track-mounted backhoe. The borings were performed within or near the proposed building footprint to a depth of approximately 18.2 feet below ground surface (fbgs) to 18.5 fbgs. The soil profile pits were performed within or near the proposed SWM basin to depths ranging from approximately nine fbgs to 10 fbgs. Test locations subsequently were backfilled to the surface with excavated soils from the investigation. The locations of the tests are shown on the accompanying *Test Location Plan* included as Figure 1. *Records of Subsurface Exploration* are provided in Appendix A.

The subsurface tests were conducted in the presence of a Whitestone engineer who performed field tests, recorded visual classifications, and collected samples of the various strata encountered. The tests were located in the field using normal taping procedures and estimated right angles. These locations are presumed to be accurate within a few feet.

Soil borings and Standard Penetration Tests (SPTs) were conducted in general accordance with American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) designation D 1586. The SPT resistance value (N) can be used as an indicator of the consistency of fine-grained soils and the relative density of coarse-grained soils. The N-value for various soil types can be correlated with the engineering behavior of earthworks and foundations.

Groundwater level observations were recorded during and immediately after the completion of field operations prior to backfilling the subsurface tests. Seasonal variations, temperature effects, man-made effects, and recent rainfall conditions may influence the levels of the groundwater, and the observed levels will depend on the permeability of the soils. Groundwater elevations derived from sources other than seasonally observed groundwater monitor wells may not be representative of true groundwater levels.



2.2 Laboratory Program

Representative samples of the various strata encountered were subjected to a laboratory program that included Atterberg limits determination (ASTM D-4318), moisture content determinations (ASTM D-2216) and washed gradation analyses (ASTM D-422) in order to perform supplementary engineering soil classifications in general accordance with ASTM D-2487. The soil strata tested were classified by the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) and results of the laboratory testing are summarized in the following table. Quantitative test results are provided in Appendix B.

PHYSICAL/TEXTURAL ANALYSES SUMMARY													
Boring	Sample	Depth (fbgs)	% Passing No. 200 Sieve	Moisture Content (%)	Liquid Limit (%)	Plastic Index (%)	USCS Classification						
B-1	S-2	2.0 - 4.0	25.6	14.5	17	1	SM						
В-3	S-1	0.5 - 2.0	76.5	22.0	28	7	CL-ML						
B-5	S-2	2.0 - 4.0	46.0	11.7	30	7	SC-SM						

Notes: NP = Non-Plastic

The engineering classifications are useful when considered in conjunction with the additional site data to estimate properties of the soil types encountered and to predict the soil's behavior under construction and service loads. Laboratory test results are provided in Appendix B.

3.0 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

The subsurface soil conditions encountered within the subsurface tests consisted of the following generalized strata in order of increasing depth. *Records of Subsurface Exploration* are provided in Appendix A.

Surface Materials: The subsurface tests were performed within existing landscaped areas and encountered approximately two inches to 11 inches of topsoil and organic materials.

Residual Deposits: Underlying the surface cover, the subsurface tests performed encountered residual deposits generally consisting of silty and clayey sand (USCS: SM and SC-SM) with variable amounts of gravel, silty clay (USCS: CL-ML) with variable amounts of sand, and lean clay (USCS: CL). The soil profile pits and soil borings performed as part of the investigation encountered the residual deposits to depths ranging from 1.8 fbgs to seven fbgs. SPT N-values within this stratum ranged between two blows per foot (bpf) to 46, generally indicating very loose to dense relative densities and averaging approximately 27 bpf.

Weathered Rock: Beneath the residual deposits, weathered rock materials were encountered within the subsurface tests consisting of highly weathered sandstone. The soil borings and profile pits were terminated at the weathered rock/bedrock interface at depths ranging from nine fbgs to 18.3 fbgs. The SPT N-values within the weathered rock materials consistently were in the refusal range (defined as more than 50 blows per six inches of split spoon sampler penetration), generally indicating a very dense relative density.



Groundwater: Static groundwater was not encountered within the borings or test pits performed. However, perched groundwater conditions were encountered at depths as shallow as 7.5 fbgs. Groundwater levels should be expected to fluctuate seasonally and following periods of precipitation.

4.0 CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

The results of the investigation indicate that the proposed warehouse development may be supported on conventional shallow foundations designed to bear within the underlying natural materials, weathered rock/bedrock, and/or controlled structural backfill. The following recommendations have been developed on the basis of the previously described project characteristics and subsurface conditions encountered within the exploration. If there are any significant changes to the project characteristics or if significantly different subsurface conditions are encountered during construction, Whitestone should be consulted so that the recommendations of this report can be reviewed.

4.1 Site Preparation & Earthwork

Surface Preparation/Proofrolling: Prior to placing any fill or subbase materials to raise or restore grades to the desired subgrade elevations, the existing exposed soils should be compacted to a firm surface with several passes in two perpendicular directions of a minimum 10-ton vibratory roller. The roller should be operated in the static mode or a kneading "sheepsfoot" roller should be used if silt and/or clay soils are encountered at subgrade elevations. The surface then should be proofrolled with a loaded tandem axle truck in the presence of the geotechnical engineer to help identify soft or loose pockets which may require removal and replacement or further investigation. Proofrolling should be performed after a suitable period of dry weather to avoid degrading an otherwise stable subgrade. Any fill or backfill should be placed and compacted in accordance with Section 4.2.

Weather Performance Criteria: Because portions of the site soils are highly moisture sensitive and will soften when exposed to water, every effort must be made to maintain drainage of surface water runoff away from construction areas by grading and limiting the exposure of excavations and prepared subgrades to rainfall. Accordingly, excavation and fill placement procedures should be performed during warm, dry weather conditions. Overexcavation of saturated soils and replacement with controlled structural fill per Section 4.2 of this report may be required prior to resuming work on disturbed subgrade soils.

Subgrade Protection and Inspection: Every effort should be made to minimize disturbance of the onsite soils by construction traffic and surface runoff. The on-site soils may deteriorate when subjected to repeated construction traffic and may require removal and replacement. These materials also may require wetting and recompaction during dry periods or discing, drying and aeration during wet periods. The contractor should be responsible for protection of subgrades and minimization of exposure of the site soils to precipitation by covering stockpiles and subgrades with plastic and preventing ponding of water by sealing subgrades before precipitation events and grading the site to allow proper drainage of surface water. All rutting from construction equipment should be removed prior to any forecasted or actual precipitation. The services of the geotechnical engineer should be retained to inspect soils conditions immediately prior to concrete placement to verify the suitability of prepared foundation subgrades for support of design loads.

Difficult Excavation Considerations: Very dense soil, weathered rock, and apparent bedrock were encountered during this subsurface investigation at variable depths. Based on proposed site grading, removal of weathered rock and intact rock should be anticipated during construction. Excavation difficulties will be more prevalent in confined excavations, such as foundations and utilities, footing and



utility excavations may inadvertently become oversized due to the presence of boulders and require additional backfill materials. The speed and ease of excavation will depend on the type of grading equipment, the equipment operator, and the geologic structure of the material, such as planes of weakness and spacing between discontinuities. Based on local experience and the results of test boring efforts, Whitestone expects that the upper few feet of the weathered rock materials typically can be removed with a large excavator equipped with ripping tools and extreme service buckets with rock teeth without considerable difficulty during mass grading operations. However, planned excavations beyond a few feet into the weathered materials and refusal depths in confined excavations are expected to require the use of large excavation machinery equipped with ripping tools and/or pneumatic hammers.

4.2 Structural Fill & Backfill

Imported Fill Material: Any imported material placed as structural fill or backfill to restore design grades should consist of clean, relatively well graded sand or gravel with a maximum particle size of three inches and five percent to 10 percent of material finer than a #200 sieve. Silts, clays, and silty or clayey sands and gravels with higher percentage of fines and with a liquid limit less than 40 and a plasticity index less than 20 may be considered subject to the owner's approval, provided that the required moisture content and compaction controls are met. The material should be free of clay lumps, organics, and deleterious material. Any imported structural fill material should be approved by a qualified geotechnical engineer prior to delivery to the site.

Soil Reusability/Moisture Sensitivity: Based on the conditions disclosed by the subsurface tests, Whitestone anticipates that a majority of the natural soils will be suitable for selective reuse as structural fill and/or backfill provided moisture contents are controlled within two percent of the optimum during favorable weather conditions. Laboratory results indicate that the existing site fine-grained soils (USCS: CL-ML and CL) are highly moisture sensitive. The reuse of these fine-grained soils and granular site materials with a high percentage of fines typically is possible only during extended periods of ideal weather conditions. Reuse of these soils is expected to require mixing with a granular material, extensive moisture conditioning, and/or drying to facilitate their reuse, workability, and compaction in fill areas. The on-site soils will become increasingly difficult to reuse and compact where wetted beyond the optimum moisture content. Immediate re-use of on-site soil should not be anticipated, and soil exchange or improvement should be expected.

Materials that become exceedingly wet likely will require discing and aerating which may not be practical during wet seasons. Alternatively, imported fill materials may be used to attain the desired grades and expedite earthwork operations. The stripped topsoil should not be used as fill or backfill.

Compaction and Placement Requirements: All fill and backfill should be placed in maximum nineinch loose lifts and compacted to 95 percent of the maximum dry density within two percent of the optimum moisture content as determined by ASTM D 1557 (Modified Proctor). Whitestone recommends using a small hand-held vibratory compactor to compact the on-site soils within any footing excavations.

4.3 Groundwater Control

Static groundwater was not encountered as part of Whitestone's investigation. As such, static groundwater levels are anticipated to be deeper than most proposed building and pavement subgrade elevations. However, perched groundwater conditions are anticipated to be encountered at variable depths across the subject site. Additionally, perched groundwater may be encountered following periods of wet weather within the existing fill materials, at the existing fill materials/natural soil interface, and within fine-grained portions of the natural site soils. Therefore, temporary groundwater control measures should be implemented as described below.



4.4 Shallow Foundation Design Criteria

Whitestone recommends that the proposed structures be supported on conventional shallow spread and continuous wall footings designed to bear within the underlying natural site soils, weathered rock/bedrock and/or properly placed structural fill provided these materials are properly evaluated, placed and compacted in accordance with this report. Foundations bearing within the natural residual site soils may be designed using a maximum allowable net bearing pressure of 4,000 pounds per square foot (psf). Foundations bearing within the weathered rock/bedrock stratum may be designed using a maximum allowable net bearing pressure of 6,000 psf.

All footing bottoms should be improved by in-trench compaction in the presence of the geotechnical engineer. Regardless of loading conditions, proposed foundations should be sized no less than minimum dimensions of 24 inches for continuous wall footings and 36 inches for isolated column footings.

Foundation Inspection: Whitestone recommends that the suitability of the bearing soils along and below the footing bottoms be verified by a geotechnical engineer prior to placing concrete for the footings. Where areas of unsuitable materials, are encountered in footing excavations, overexcavation and recompaction or replacement may be necessary to provide a suitable footing subgrade in accordance with Section 4.1. Any overexcavation to be restored with structural fill will need to extend at least one foot laterally beyond footing edges for each vertical foot of overexcavation. Lateral overexcavation can be reduced if the grade is restored with lean concrete or approved flowable fill. The bottom of overexcavation should be compacted with vibrating plates or plate tampers ("jumping jacks") to compact locally disturbed materials.

Partial Weathered Rock/Bedrock Support: Foundations should not be supported partially on weathered rock or bedrock and partially on soil because of the risk of brittle fracture due to a hinging effect. If the proposed bearing elevations result with partial bearing on such materials, Whitestone recommends removing a minimum of six inches of the weathered rock/bedrock and restoring the bearing elevation with structural fill. Alternatively, the proposed footings may be extended deeper to bear entirely within weathered rock/bedrock.

Settlement: Whitestone estimates post construction settlements of proposed foundations to be approximately less than one inch if the recommendations outlined in this report are properly implemented. Differential settlement of foundations should be less than one-half inch.

Frost Coverage/Adjacent Structures: Footings subject to frost action should be placed at least 36 inches below adjacent exterior grades or the depth required by local building codes to provide protection from frost penetration. Interior footings not subject to frost action may be placed at a minimum depth of 18 inches below the slab subgrade.

Foundations in areas adjacent to the existing neighboring buildings will require special consideration. Care should be exercised during construction, if below-grade walls are planned, to avoid undermining the existing foundations. Excavation near existing infrastructure should anticipate the need for shoring and/or underpinning. Shoring is also anticipated in order to construct the below-grade portions of the proposed building.

Seismic Design: Based on the 2018 International Building Code – New Jersey Edition, the subject site may be classified as a Seismic Site Class C. As such, seismic activity is not anticipated to have a significant impact on construction.



4.5 Floor Slab Design Criteria

Whitestone anticipates that the underlying natural soils, and/or controlled structural fill materials will be suitable for support of the proposed floor slabs provided these materials are properly evaluated, placed, compacted and proofrolled in accordance with the recommendations outlined in this report. Localized areas of overexcavation may be anticipated if the subgrades are exposed to precipitation. Any areas that become softened or disturbed as a result of wetting and/or repeated exposure to construction traffic should be removed and replaced with compacted structural backfill. The properly prepared on-site soils are expected to yield a minimum subgrade modulus (k) of 150 psi/in.

A minimum four-inch layer of coarse aggregate, such as AASHTO #57 stone, dense graded aggregate, or equal, should be installed below ground-supported floor slabs to provide a capillary break. An impervious membrane also should be provided as a moisture vapor barrier beneath all floor slabs.

4.6 Lateral Earth Pressures

Permanent below grade walls may be required to resist lateral earth pressures. The following soil parameters apply to the encountered subsurface strata and may be used for design of the proposed temporary and permanent retaining structures:

LATERAL EARTH PRESSURE PARAMETERS											
Parameter	On-Site Natural Soils	On-Site Weathered Rock	Structural Granular Backfill								
Moist Density (γ_{moist})	140 pcf	150 pcf	140 pcf								
Internal Friction Angle (φ)	28°	35°	30°								
Active Earth Pressure Coefficient (K _a)	0.36	0.27	0.33								
Passive Earth Pressure Coefficient (K _p)	2.77	3.69	3.00								
At-Rest Earth Pressure Coefficient (K _o)	0.53	0.43	0.50								

Retaining/below grade walls free to rotate generally can be designed to resist active earth pressures. Retaining/below grade walls corners and restrained walls need to be designed to resist at-rest earth pressures. Retaining/below grade walls situated below static groundwater levels should also be designed to resist hydrostatic pressure.

Lateral earth pressure will depend on the backfill slope angle and the wall batter angle. A sloped backfill will add surcharge load and affect the angle of the resultant force. The effect of other surcharges will also need to be included in earth pressure calculations, including the loads imposed by adjacent structures and traffic. The effects of proposed sloped backfill surface grades, and proposed slopes beyond the toe of the retaining structure, if applicable, must be considered when calculating resultant forces to be resisted by the retaining structure. A coefficient of friction of 0.35 against sliding can be used for concrete on the existing site soils. Retaining/below-grade wall footings should be designed so that the combined effect of vertical and horizontal resultants and overturning moment does not exceed the maximum soil bearing capacity provided in Section 5.5.

Adequate drainage of water that may collect on the backfill side of the retaining wall should be incorporated into the design and/or hydrostatic pressures should be added to the pressure calculations.



Depending on the wall type, drainage along the backside and in front of the wall may be provided by a free draining, clean stone layer separated from surrounding soils by a filtration fabric. Numerous commercially fabricated drainage systems also are available. A system of perforated drain pipes and/or weep holes may be used at the base of the backfill side of the retaining wall in order to collect and remove the water and relieve hydrostatic pressure.

Backfill Criteria: Whitestone recommends that granular soils be used to backfill behind the proposed below-grade walls. The granular backfill materials should consist of clean, relatively well graded sand or gravel with a maximum particle size of three inches and five percent to 15 percent of material finer than a #200 sieve. The material should be free of clay lumps, organics, and deleterious material. Rock fragments and cobbles/boulders greater than three inches should not be used as backfill. Additionally, imported granular soils may be required. Maximum density as provided in the previous table should not be exceeded to avoid creating excessive lateral pressure on the walls during compaction operations.

Whitestone recommends that backfill directly behind the wall be compacted with light, hand-held compactors. Heavy compactors and grading equipment should not be allowed to operate within a zone measured at a 45-degree angle from the base of the wall during backfilling to avoid developing excessive temporary or long-term lateral soil pressures.

5.0 PRELIMINARY SWM AREA EVALUATION

General: Soil profile pits SPP-1 through SPP-3 were performed within accessible areas of the proposed SWM area provided by Hammer. The soil profile pits performed within the SWM area were terminated at depths ranging from approximately nine fbgs to 10 fbgs.

Estimated Seasonal High Groundwater Levels: The methods used in determining the estimated seasonal high groundwater (ESHGW) level include evaluating the soil morphology within a test excavation and identifying irregular spots or blotches of different colors or minerals unlike that of the surrounding soils (mottles). Mottling is the result of the oxidation of minerals within a soil structure as a water level slowly fluctuates. A summary of the estimated seasonal high groundwater observations as well as infiltration test results are included in the following table.

INFILTRATION TEST SUMMARY													
	Surface Flovetion	FSHCW	USDA	Infiltration	Test								
Profile Pit #	(feet*)	(fbgs/feet*)	Classification @ Test	Depth (fbgs/feet*)	Rate (in/hour)								
SPP-1	78.0	7.5/70.5	Silty Clay Loam	1.0/77.0	< 0.2								
SPP-2	79.0	8.0/71.0	Silty Clay Loam	1.0/78.0	< 0.2								
SPP-3	78.5	8.0/70.5	Silty Clay Loam	1.0/77.5	< 0.2								

Notes: * Above NAVD 88

USDA - United Stated Department of Agriculture NE - Not Encountered

NE – Not Encountered

Soil Infiltration Rates: Laboratory tube permeameter tests were performed at the anticipated levels of infiltration within the proposed SWM area at soil profile pits locations. Laboratory testing was performed using by the tube permeameter test method. Tests within SPP-1 through SPP-3 resulted in relatively impermeable infiltration rates of less than 0.2 inches per hour (iph). Infiltration test results are provided in Appendix C, *Soil Profile Pit Logs* are included in Appendix A.



Hammer Land Engineering Limited Geotechnical Investigation & SWM Area Evaluation 490 Elizabeth Avenue Franklin, New Jersey March 16, 2020 Page 9

6.0 CLOSING

Whitestone appreciates the opportunity to be of service to Hammer Land Engineering. Please note that Whitestone has the capability to perform the additional geotechnical engineering services recommended herein. Please contact us with any questions or comments regarding this report.

Sincerely,

WHITESTONE ASSOCIATES, INC.

Kyle J. Kopacz, P.E. Project Manager

Laurence W. Keller, P.E. Principal, Geotechnical Services

KK/ri L:\Job Folders\2020\2017025GS\Reports and Submittals\17025 ROGI.docx Enclosures Copy: Joseph Hanrahan, P.E., LEED AP, Hammer Land Engineering



FIGURE 1 Test Location Plan





APPENDIX A Records of Subsurface Exploration



Boring No.: B-1

Page 1 of 1

Project:		Prop	osed Warehouse								WAI Project No.:	GS2017025.000	
Location:		490 E	Elizabeth Avenue; To	ownsh	ip of Fra	anklin, So	merset County,	NJ			Client:	Hammer Land E	ngineering
Surface El	levatio	n:	± 75.5 fee	t			Date Started:	:	3/2/2020	Water	Depth Elevation	Cave-Ir	n Depth Elevation
Terminatio	on Dep	oth:	18.5 fee	t bgs			Date Complete	ed: _	3/2/2020	(fe	et bgs) (feet)	(fe	et bgs) (feet)
Proposed	Locat	ion:	Building				Logged By:	SEP		During:	<u>NE </u> $ abla$		
Drill / Test	t Metho	od:	HSA / SPT				Contractor:	FS		At Completion:	<u>NE</u> ▽	At Completion:	<u> </u>
							Equipment:	Geopr	obe 7822DT	24 Hours:	<u> </u>	24 Hours:	<u> </u>
	SA	MPL	E INFORMATION			DEPT	4			-			
Depth	1			Rec.	1		STRAT	Ά		DESCRIPTION	OF MATERIALS	;	REMARKS
(feet)	No	Туре	Blows Per 6"	(in.)	N	(feet)		1		(Class	sification)		
						0.0	TOPSOIL	\$14	6" Tonsoil Sod				-
		N/				0.0	RESIDUAL		Gray and Light Re	eddish-Brown Lean Cla	ay with Sand, Moist, Stiff	(CL)	Qu = 2.5 tsf
0 - 2	S-1	X	2 - 3 - 5 - 6	20	8				, ,				
		$V \setminus$											
		∇				1 –							
2 - 4	S-2	ΙX	12 - 12 - 14 - 12	24	26	_			Dark Reddish-Bro	own Silty Sand and Coa	arse to Fine Subangular	Gravel, Moist, Stiff	
		$ \land $					-		(5111)				
		\mapsto				-	-						
		\mathbb{N}				5.0	-						
4 - 6	S-3	Ň	6 - 5 - 8 - 14	24	13				As Above, Higher	Gravel Content (SM)			
		\land				l _							
		Ν/					_						
6 - 8	S-4	X	20 - 22 - 24 - 32	24	46	7.0			Dark Baddiah Bra	we Highly Moothorod	Shalo Consisting of Silts	· Sand with	-
		$ / \rangle$					ROCK		Platy/Subangular	Gravel, Moist, Dense ((WR)	y Sanu with	
		\vdash				-		Æ					Shale Itself is Soft and
8 - 9.4	S-5	IX I	22 - 34 - 50/3"	12	84/9"			H	As Above (WR)				Fine but Matrix is Very
		\land				↓ -							Tight/Dense
						10.0	_						
							_						
						-	-						
							-						
						l _							
13 - 13.8	S-6	\bowtie	37 - 50/3"	9	50/3"				As Above (WR)				Slower Auger Advancement with Depth
						1 -	-	1					
						15.0	-	<u> </u>					
	1					-	-	23					
	1						1	23					
						-							
						_	4						
							-	표					
18 - 18 5	S-7		51 - 10/0"	6	61/6"	18.5	-		As Above (WR)				
				Ť	0.70				Boring Log B-1 Te	erminated at a Depth o	f 18.5 Feet Below Grour	nd Surface Due to	Split Spoon Sampler
						-]		Split Spoon Samp	ler Refusal on Dense	Weathered Rock		Refusal @ 18 5 fbgs
	1					20.0							
	1						4						
	1					-	-						
	1						-						
	1					-	-						
	1						1						
						-							
	1					_	4						
	1					25.0	4						
						20.0	-						
1	1												

RECORD OF SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION 17025_Blogs 3/16/2020



Boring No.: B-2

Page 1 of 1

Project:		Propo	sed Warehouse								WAI Projec	ct No.:	GS2017025.000	
Location:		490 E	lizabeth Avenue; To	ownshi	ip of Fra	anklin, Sc	merset County	, NJ			(Client:	Hammer Land E	ngineering
Surface E	levatio	n:	± 73.0 fee	t			Date Started:	-	3/2/2020	Wat	ter Depth Ele	evation	Cave-lı	n Depth Elevation
Terminati	on Dep	oth:	18.3 fee	t bgs			Date Complete	ed:	3/2/2020	((feet bgs) (fe	et)	(fe	et bgs) (feet)
Proposed	Locat	ion:	Building				Logged By:	SEP		During:	NE	Y		
Drill / Tes	t Metho	od:	HSA / SPT				Contractor:	FS		At Completion:	: <u>NE </u>		At Completion:	i
							Equipment:	Geopr	obe 7822D1	24 Hours:		· _ ¥	24 Hours:	<u> </u>
	SA	MPLE		I		DEPT	4							
Depth (feet)	No	Turne	Blown Bor 6"	Rec.	N	(foot)	STRAT	Α		DESCRIPTIO (Cla	ON OF MATI	ERIALS	i	REMARKS
(ieet)	NO	Type	Blows Fel 0	(111.)	N	0.0		SIL		(014	Somoution			
0 - 2	S-1	X	1 - 2 - 3 - 6	24	5		RESIDUAL		2" Topsoil, Moss Brown to Dark Re	ddish-Brown Lean (Clay with Sand, N	Moist, Stiff	(CL)	Qu = 2.5 tsf
2 - 4	S-2	X	8 - 10 - 11 - 14	24	21				As Above, Hard (CL)				Qu = 4.0 tsf to 4.5 tsf
4 - 6	S-3	X	6 - 12 - 15 - 18	20	27	5.0			As Above (CL)					
6 - 8	S-4	Х	18 - 15 - 18 - 33	22	33	7.5	WEATHERED		Dark Reddish-Bro Dark Reddish-Bro	wn Silt with Sand a wn Highly Weather	nd Coarse to Fine	e Gravel, I ting of Silt	Moist, Stiff (ML) y Sand with	Qu = 4.0 tsf
13 - 14.8	S-5	X	32 - 14 - 47 - ^{50/} 3"	18	61	10.0	ROCK		Platy/Subangular As Above (WR)	Gravel, Moist, Very	Dense (WR)			Slower Auger Advancement with Depth
		\square	2010		50.00	15.0	-		As Above (WR)					
18 - 18.3	S-6	X	50/3"	2	50/3"	18.3 20.0 20.0 20.0			Boring Log B-2 To Split Spoon Samp	erminated at a Deptl	h of 18.3 Feet Be e Weathered Ro	elow Grour	nd Surface Due to	Split Spoon Sampler Refusal @ 18.3 fbgs

RECORD OF SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION 17025_Blogs 3/16/2020



Boring No.: B-3

Project:		Propo	osed Warehouse							v	VAI Project N	GS2017025.000	
Location:		490 E	lizabeth Avenue; To	ownsh	ip of Fra	anklin, Sc	merset County	, NJ			Clier	t: Hammer Land E	ngineering
Surface E	levatio	n:	± 76.5 fee	et			Date Started:	-	3/2/2020	Water D	Depth Elevati	on Cave-Ir	Depth Elevation
Terminatio	on Dep	oth:	18.2 fee	et bgs			Date Complet	ed:	3/2/2020	(feet	bgs) (feet)	(fe	et bgs) (feet)
Proposed	Locat	ion:	Building				Logged By:	SEP		During:	NE	${oldsymbol{\Lambda}}$	
Drill / Test	Metho	od:	HSA / SPT				Contractor:	FS		At Completion:	<u>NE </u>		<u> </u>
							Equipment:	Geopr	obe 7822D1	24 Hours:		▼ 24 Hours:	<u> </u>
	SA	MPLE	E INFORMATION	I		DEPTH		- •		DECODIDITION			DEMARKO
Depth (feet)	No	Tuna	Blowe Bor 6"	Rec.	N	(foot)	SIRAI	A		DESCRIPTION (Classif	OF MATERIA	ALS	REMARKS
(feet)	NO	туре	Blows Per 6	(in.)	N	0.0		1		(010331)	lication		
						0.5	TOPSOIL	<u>></u>	6" Topsoil				
0 - 2	S-1	V	1 - 1 - 1 - 1	20	2		RESIDUAL		Yellowish-Brown	Clayey Silt with Sand, Ve	ery Moist, Mediun	n Stiff (CL-ML)	Qu = 0.5 tsf to 1.0 tsf
		$ \wedge $					4						
		\mapsto				2.0	-						
		$\backslash /$					-						
2 - 4	S-2	X	3 - 4 - 5 - 5	20	9	-			Dark Reddish-Bro	own Lean Clay with Sand	, Moist, Hard (CL	.)	Qu = >4.5 tsf
		$\vee \setminus$				·							
4 - 6	S-3	V	5 - 9 - 12 - 10	8	21	5.0			As Above (CL)				Qu = >4.5 tsf
		$ \wedge $					-						
		\mapsto				-	-						
		$\backslash /$				7.0	-		As Above (CL)				
6 - 8	S-4	X	8 - 10 - 19 - 28	20	29	_	WEATHERED		Dark Reddish-Bro	own Highly Weathered Sh	hale Consisting c	f Silty Sand with	
		$\vee \setminus$				·	ROCK	H	Platy/Subangular	Gravel, Moist, Very Dens	se (WR)		
		∇						199					
8 - 9.8	S-5	X	12 - 15 - 30 - ^{50/} 3"	24	45	_	_	3 33					
		$/ \setminus$	ç			9.8	-	199					
						10.0	-	199					
								199					
						-		33					
						-	-	33					Slower Auger
13 - 13.8	S-6	Х	18 - 50/3"	8	50/3"		-	-53	As Above, Fine W	Veak Gravel Fragments, I	Dry (WR)		Advancement with Depth
] –	-	-553					
						15.0	1	133					
						-]	-55					
						_		臣王					
							4						
						-	4						
						·	-						
18 -18.2	S-7	$\mathbf{\times}$	50/2"		50/2"	18.2	4	EEE	As Above (WR)				
	1				1		1		Boring Log B-3 Te Split Spoon Same	erminated at a Depth of 1 oler Refusal in Dense We	i 8.2 Feet Below (eathered Rock	sround Surface Due to	Split Spoon Sampler Refusal @
]						18.2 fbgs
						20.0	4						
							4						
						-	-						
						· ·	-						
						-	1						
]						
						_	4						
						25.0	4						
							-						
1	I			I	1	1	1						



Boring No.: B-4

	AS	soc	LATES, IN	C.		9	SUBSUF	RFA	CE EXPLORATION	Page 1 of 1
Project:		Propo	sed Warehouse						WAI Project No.: GS2017025.000	
Location:		490 E	ilizabeth Avenue; To	ownsh	ip of Fra	anklin, Sc	merset County	, NJ	Client: Hammer Land E	ngineering
Surface E	levatio	n:	± 74.5 fee	et			Date Started:		3/2/2020 Water Depth Elevation Cave-In	n Depth Elevation
Terminatio	on Dep	oth:	18.3 fee	et bgs			Date Complet	ed:	3/2/2020 (feet bgs) (feet) (feet)	eet bgs) (feet)
Proposed	Locat	ion:	Building				Logged By:	SEP	During: NE 🕎	
Drill / Test	Methe	od:	HSA / SPT				Contractor:	FS	At Completion: <u>NE</u> \bigtriangledown At Completion:	<u> </u>
							Equipment:	Geopr	robe 7822DT 24 Hours: 24 Hours:	I 💆
	64	MDIE					_			
Dauth					1	DEPTI	STRAT	Α	DESCRIPTION OF MATERIALS	REMARKS
(feet)	No	Type	Blows Per 6"	(in.)	N	(feet)			(Classification)	
						0.0				
		\wedge /				0.5	TOPSOIL	<u>></u>	6" Topsoil, Root Mat	
0 - 2	S-1	IVI	1 - 1 - 2 - 4	24	3	_	RESIDUAL	20	Yellowish-Brown Clayey Silt with Sand, Very Moist, Medium Stiff (CL-ML)	Qu = 1.5 tsf
		$ \Lambda $					_	2		
		()				2.0	-			
		$\Lambda /$					_			
2 - 4	S-2	X	7 - 10 - 12 - 13	24	22		_		Gravel, Moist, Very Stiff (CL)	Qu = 3.5 tsf
		/ \					-			
		┟┤				1 -	-			
		\/				5.0	1		As Above (CL)	
4 - 6	S-3	IXI	5 - 13 - 22 - 34	20	35	-	WEATHERED		Reddish-Brown Highly Weathered Shale Consisting of Silty Sand with Coarse to	1
		VΝ					ROCK	83	Fine Platy/Subangular Gravel, Moist, Very Dense (WR)	
6 - 6.8	S-4	\bigtriangledown	45 - 50/4"	10	50/4"	1 -		53		
	_	\sim		-		1 _		3555-		
						_				
								23		
						_				
							_			
						10.0		-332		
							_			
						-	_	222		
								222		
								222		
13 - 13 8	S-5	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	28 - 50/3"	8	50/3"	1 -			As Above Dry (WR)	
10 10.0		\sim	20 00/0	Ŭ	00,0	ł	1	233 F	,	
						-		23		
						15.0		E		
						_	4	- EE		
							-	122		
						-	-	83		
							-	133		
18 - 18 3	S-6		50/4"	4	50/4"	19.2			As Above (WR)	
10 10.0	0-0	\sim		<u> </u>	00/4	10.0			Boring Log B-4 Terminated at a Depth of 18.3 Feet Below Ground Surface Due to	Split Spoon Sampler
						-	-		Spint Spoon Sampler Relusar in Dense weathered Rock	18.3 fbgs
						20.0				-
						-	1			
							1			
						-				
						_				
						-				
						_				
							_			
						_	_			
						05.0	_			
						25.0	-			

NOTES: bgs = below ground surface, NA = Not Applicable, NE = Not Encountered, NS = Not Surveyed, P = Perched

RECORD OF SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION 17025_Blogs 3/16/2020



Boring No.: B-5

	AS	500	CIATES, IN	C.			SUBSUF	RFA	CE EXPL	ORATION		Page 1 of 1
Project:		Propo	osed Warehouse							WAI Project No.:	GS2017025.000	
Location:		490 E	lizabeth Avenue; To	ownsh	ip of Fra	anklin, So	omerset County	, NJ		Client:	Hammer Land Er	ngineering
Surface E	levatio	n:	± 77.5 fee	t			Date Started:	_	3/2/2020	Water Depth Elevation	Cave-In	Depth Elevation
Terminatio	on Dej	oth:	18.8 fee	t bgs			Date Complete	ed:	3/2/2020	(feet bgs) (feet)	(fe	et bgs) (feet)
Proposed	Locat	ion:	Building				Logged By:	SEP		During: NE 🐺		
Drill / Test	Meth	od:	HSA / SPT				Contractor:	FS		At Completion: NE 👽	At Completion:	🖂
							Equipment:	Geopr	robe 7822DT	24 Hours: 🔻	24 Hours:	; 🖂
	SA	MPL			-	DEPTI		· A		DESCRIPTION OF MATERIALS		REMARKS
Depth (feet)	No	Type	Blows Por 6"	Rec.	N	(foot)		^		(Classification)		
(1001)	110	Type	Biowstere	(,		0.0		1	-	(
		Λ 7				0.5	TOPSOIL	<u>></u>	6" Topsoil			
0.0	0.1	V	2 2 5 6	24	7		RESIDUAL	S	Brown to Dark Re	ddish-Brown Silty Clayey Sand with Coarse to	Fine Subangular	Qu = 1.5 tsf
0 - 2	5-1	$ \Lambda $	2 - 2 - 5 - 6	24	1	_		21	Gravel, Moist, Stif	f (SC-SM)		
		/				l _						
		Ν/					_					
2 - 4	S-2	ΙX	7 - 7 - 17 - 25	24	24		_		As Above, Dark R	eddish-Brown, Hard (SC-SM)		Qu = 4.0 tsf
		$ \Lambda $					_					
		()				┥ —	-					
		Λ		ĺ		EO	-		A. Abay (00.51)	n.		
4 - 6	S-3	X	5 - 20 - 28 - 32	20	48	0.0	WEATHERED	N 11	AS ADOVE (SC-SM Reddish-Brown Hi	i) ighly Weathered Shale Consisting of Silty San	d with Medium to	
		/					ROCK		Fine Platy Gravel,	Moist, Very Dense (WR)		
6 6 9	84	\triangleright	40 50/2"	6	50/2"	1 -	-					
0 - 0.0	3-4	\bigtriangleup	40 - 50/3	0	50/3	↓ -		535 I				
								535 I				
]	999 B				
]					
						_						
							4					
						10.0	4					
							-					
						_	-	200				
							-1	3-3-5-				
							-	199				
							-					
13 - 13.3	S-5	\times	50/3"	4	50/3"	1 -	1		As Above, Dry (W	R)		Slower Auger
						1	7					Advancement with Depth
						_						
				ĺ		15.0		199				
						_	_					
						_	_					
							-					
				ĺ		-	-					
				ĺ			-					
10 10 0	0.0	\sim	05 50/0"		E0/0"	-		83	As About (MD)			
10 - 18.8	5-6	A	JJ - 50/3"		50/3"	18.8	-		AS ADOVE (WR)	mainstad at a Depth of 40.0 E of 5 1 C	d Outfort Direct	Calit Case - Cari
									Boring Log B-5 Te Split Spoon Same	erminated at a Depth of 18.8 Feet Below Groun ler Refusal in Dense Weathered Rock	o Surrace Due to	Split Spoon Sampler Refusal @
				ĺ		20.0						18.8 fbgs
				ĺ		-						
				ĺ		_						
				ĺ		_	_					
						_						
							4					
						_	_					
							-					
						-	-					
						25.0	-					
							-					
I	I				I							



Boring No.: B-6

	AS	soc	CIATES, IN	С.		Ş	SUBSUF	RFA	CE EXPI		Page 1 of 1
Project:		Propo	osed Warehouse							WAI Project No.: GS2017	025.000
Location:		490 E	lizabeth Avenue; Te	ownsh	ip of Fra	anklin, So	omerset County	, NJ		Client: Hammer	Land Engineering
Surface E	levatio	on:	± 76.5 fee	et			Date Started:	_	3/2/2020	Water Depth Elevation	Cave-In Depth Elevation
Terminatio	on Dep	oth:	18.2 fee	et bgs			Date Complet	ed:	3/2/2020	(feet bgs) (feet)	(feet bgs) (feet)
Proposed	Locat	ion:	Building				Logged By:	SEP		During: NE 🔻	
Drill / Test	Meth	od:	HSA / SPT				Contractor:	FS		At Completion: NE 🗸 At Comp	oletion: 🖂
							Equipment:	Geopr	obe 7822DT	24 Hours: ↓ ▼ 24 Hour	s: 🖂
									-	· *	· _
	SA	MPLI	E INFORMATION	J		DEPT		- •			DEMARKS
Depth (feet)	No	Turne	Blowe Bor 6"	Rec.	N	(foot)	511(A)			(Classification)	
(1881)	NO	Type	DIGWS FEI 0	(11.)	N	0.0				(chacomoution)	
		7				0.5	TOPSOIL	<u>>\//</u>	6" Topsoil		
		IV					RESIDUAL	111	Dark Reddish-Bro	wn Lean Clay with Sand and Coarse to Fine Subangular	Gravel, Qu = 1.5 tsf
0 - 2	S-1	ΙÅ	2 - 3 - 5 - 7	24	8	_			Very Moist, Mediu	Im Stiff (CL)	
		\lor									
		Ν7				_					
2 - 4	S-2	IV.	5 - 16 - 27 - 37	24	43	3.0	14/F 1	///			
		$ \Lambda $					WEATHERED ROCK	199	Reddish-Brown H	ighly Weathered Shale Consisting of Silty Sand with Medi gular Gravel, Moist, Very Dense (WR)	um to
ļ		(\rightarrow)		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	┥ -		199			
		NZ				5.0	4	23			
4 - 6	S-3	IX	5 - 20 - 24 - 40	20	44	5.0	-1	-223	As Above (WR)		
		$ / \setminus$					-1	-7-73			
		(\rightarrow)				4 –	-	-55			
		\mathbb{N}					-	-53			
6 - 8	S-4	١X	22 - 28 - 40 - 44		68	_	-	-55	As Above (WR)		
		$V \setminus$					1	-553			
						1 -		333			
						_		1 11			
]	23			
						10.0		33			
							_	23			
						_	_	-7-7			
							-	-333			
						_	-	-333			
							-				
13 - 13 2	S-5		50/3"	4	50/3"	4 –	-	-53	As Above (WR)		Slower Auger
10 10.2	00			-	00/0	4	-1	-55			Advancement with Depth
						_	-1	-553			
					1	15.0	1	国			
					1	-					
					1	_		1 33			
				1	1	_	_	193			
						_		23			
							4	23			
10 10 0	0.0	k -	50/01	_	F0/0"	-	_		As Above (WR)		
18 - 18.2	5-6	ř	50/2	2	ou/2"	18.2	-		Boring Log B-6 Te	erminated at a Depth of 18.2 Feet Below Ground Surface	
					1	-	-				
				1	1	20.0	4				
				1	1	-	-				
							-				
						-					
]				
						-					
					1	_					
					1	-					
					1	_					
					1		_				
				1	1	25.0	_				
				1	1				1		



Soil Profile Pit No.: SPP-1

r											
Project:	Proposed	Warehous	se					WAI Project No	.:	GS2017025.000	
Location:	490 Eliza	beth Avenu	ıe; Town	ship of Frai	nklin, Somerset	County, NJ		Clien	t:	Hammer Land En	gineering
Surface Eleva	ation: ±	78.0	feet		Date Started:	3/2/2020	Water	Depth Elevation	n	Estimate	d Seasonal High
Termination	Denth:	10.0	feet ha	e	Date Comple	ted: 3/2/2020	(fee	et bgs) (feet)		Groundwate	r Denth Elevation
Proposed Lo	ootion:	SWM Boo		5	Loggod By	SED	`	75 705		(fe	eet bas) (feet)
Froposed Lo		SWIVI Das			Logged By.	<u>SEF</u>	- Juning	7.5 70.5	$-\overset{\frown}{\mathbf{x}}$		
Excavating iv	lethod:	Test Pit E	xcavatio	n	Contractor:	Carroccia	At Completion:	7.5 70.5	-¥	At Completion:	7.5 70.5
Test Method:		Visual Ob	servatior	n	Rig Type:	Deere 60G	24 Hours:		_₹		
SAMPLE	INFORM		וח	EDTH							
					HORIZON		DESCRIPTION U	F MAIERIALS			REMARKS
Depth (feet)	Number	Туре		feet			(Classifie	cation)			
			0.0								
			0.0	0-08	TS/ROOT MAT	4" Topsoil					
				0 0.0		5" Heavy Root Mat (Coars	se to Fine Tree Roots)				
] [
			1.0	0.8 - 2.5	SILTY CLAY	Reddish-Brown (2.5YR 4/	4) SILTY CLAY LOAM; 159	% Gravel; Medium, M	oderate	Subangular Blocky	
					LOAM	Structure; Moist; Friable;	Few Fine Roots; No Mottlin	ig; Clear Boundary			
075-25	T-1A/B/C	TUBES/	-								
0.10 2.0	S-1	BAG	2.0								
			- 1								
			3.0	2.5 - 10	WEATHERED ROCK	Reddish-Brown Weathere	a Shale Consisting of Coa	rse to Fine Platy Gra	vel; Moi	st Grading to Wet @	
					ACON.						
			-								Labored Excavation @
			4.0								3.5 fbgs to 10.0 fbgs
			-	-							
			5.0								
			_								
			6.0								
			0.0								Shale Fragments
											1" x 1" x 0.5" to
			-								6" x 8" x 1.5"
			7.0								
				L							Rapid Water Seepage @
			\overline{x}	Ť							7.5 fbgs to 10.0 fbgs
			8.0								
			_								
			9.0	-							
			-								
			10.0								
						Soil Profile Pit SPP-1 Ter	minated at a Depth of 10.0	Feet Below Ground S	Surface	Due to Machine	Machine Refusal @
			-	-		Reiusai on Apparent Intac	SI DEOLOCK				sgat 0.01
			11.0								
			-	1							
			_								
			12.0								
			12.0								
			-								
			13.0								
			-	-							
			14.0								
			-								
			Ι_								
			15.0								
			15.0	-							
1											
1	1		1	1	1	1					1



Soil Profile Pit No.: SPP-2

Project:	Proposed	Warehous	e			WAI Project No.: GS2017025.000	
Location:	490 Elizal	beth Avenu	e; Town	ship of Frar	nklin, Somerset (County, NJ Client: Hammer Land Eng	neering
Surface Eleva	ation: ±	79.0	feet		Date Started:	3/2/2020 Water Depth Elevation Estimated	Seasonal High
Termination I	Depth:	9.0	feet bgs	3	Date Complet	ted: 3/2/2020 (feet bgs) (feet) Groundwater	Depth Elevation
Proposed Loo	cation:	SWM Bas	in		Logged By:	SEP During: 8.0 71.0 𝒴 (fee	t bgs) (feet)
Excavating M	ethod:	Test Pit Ex	kcavatior	n	Contractor:	Carroccia At Completion: 8.0 71.0 \bigtriangledown At Completion:	8.0 71.0
Test Method:		Visual Obs	servation	า	Rig Type:	Deere 60G 24 Hours: 🝸	
SAMDLE				отц			
SAWFLE		ATION		FIN	HORIZON	DESCRIPTION OF MATERIALS	REMARKS
Depth (feet)	Number	Туре	1	feet		(Classification)	
			0.0				
				0 - 0.9	TS/ROOTS	3" Topsoil	
			-	-		8" Heavy Root Mat (Coarse to Fine Tree Roots)	
			1.0 -				
				0.9 - 1.8		Structure; Moist; Friable; Few Fine Roots; No Mottling; Clear Boundary	
			-	-			
			2.0	1.8 - 9	WEATHERED	Reddish-Brown Weathered Shale Consisting of Coarse to Fine Platy Gravel; Moist Grading to Wet @	
					ROCK	8.0 fbgs	
			-	-			
			3.0				
							abored Excavation @
			-	-		3	.0 fbgs to 9.0 fbgs
			4.0				
			-	-			
			5.0				
						S 1	shale Fragments
			-			e	" x 6" x 2"
			6.0	-			
			-				
			7.0	-			
				1			
			8.0 7	Ť			
			0.0				
			9.0			Soil Profile Pit SPP-2 Terminated at a Depth of 10.0 Feet Below Ground Surface Due to Machine	
			_			Refusal on Apparent Intact Bedrock	
			10.0				
			_				
			11.0				
			-				
			-				
			12.0				
			-				
			-	-			
			13.0				
			_				
			-	-			
			14.0				
			-				
			15.0				



Soil Profile Pit No.: SPP-3

Project:	Proposed	Warehous	e					WAI Project No).:	GS2017025 000	
Location:	490 Elizat	beth Avenu	e; Towns	hip of Fran	klin, Somerset (County, NJ		Clier	 1t:	Hammer Land En	gineering
Surface Eleva	ation: ±	78.5	feet	,u	Date Started	3/2/2020	Water	Depth Elevation	on	Estimate	d Seasonal High
Termination	Depth:	10.0	feet bas		Date Complet	ed: 3/2/2020	(feet	t bgs) (feet)		Groundwate	r Depth Elevation
Proposed Lo	cation:	SWM Bas	in		Logged Bv:	SEP	During:	8.0 70.5	V	(fe	eet bgs) (feet)
Excavating M	lethod:	Test Pit Ex	xcavation	1	Contractor:	Carroccia	At Completion:	8.0 70.5		At Completion:	8.0 70.5
Test Method:	,	Visual Obs	servation		Rig Type:	Deere 60G	24 Hours:	<u></u>			·
SAMPLE	INFORM	ATION	DE	РТН	1		DESODIDIO				
		-			HORIZON		DESCRIPTION O	r WATERIALS			REMARKS
Deptn (feet)	Number	l ype	fi	eet			(Sidsonit				
			0.0		TO/2 (-	CH T - "					4
1		ļ		U - 0.8	IS/ROOTS	o" Lopsoil 4" Heavy Root Mat (Coars	e to Fine Tree Roots)				
		L			۱ 	,					1
			1.0	0.8 - 2.5	SILTY CLAY	Reddish-Brown (2.5YR 4/4	4) SILTY CLAY LOAM; 15%	% Gravel; Medium, N	/loderate	e Subangular Blocky	
	TANDIC	TUDEO		I I	LOAN			o, المالي المحاد , ال			
0.75 - 2.5	т-та/В/С S-1	BAG	20	I I	1	l					
			2.0	(I	1	l					
	ļi	l				-					
			3.0	2.5 - 10	WEATHERED ROCK	Reddish-Brown Weathere 8.0 fbgs	d Shale Consisting of Coar	rse to Fine Platy Gra	avel; Mc	nist Grading to Wet @	;
				1 1							Labored Excavation @
			_		1	l					3.0 fbgs to 10.0 fbgs
			4.0	I I	1	l					
		ļ		1	1	l					
			_	I I	1	l					
			5.0	I I	1	l					
		ļ		1 1	1	l					
1		ļ	-	{	1	l					
			6.0	I I	1	l					
				I I	1	l					Shale Fragments
			-	(I	1	l					6" x 8" x 2"
			7.0	I I	1	l					
		ļ]	I I	1	l					
		ļ	-	1 1	1	l					
		ļ	$Z {ar Y}^{0.8}$	7	1	l					Papid Water C
		ļ		I I	1	l					Rapid water Seepage @ 8.0 fbgs to 10.0 fbgs
		ļ		1 I	1	l					
		ļ	9.0	I I	1	l					
				I I	1	l					
			10.0	1 1	1	l					
	┨───┤		10.0	╞──┤	1	Soil Profile Pit SPP-3 Terr	ninated at a Depth of 10 0 P	Feet Below Ground	Surface	Due to Machine	1 1
					1	Refusal on Apparent Intac	t Bedrock				
		ļ	11.0	I I	1	l					
		ļ		1 1	1	l					
		ļ		I I	1	l					
			12.0	I I	1	l					
				1	1	l					
			_		1	l					
			13.0	I I	1	l					
				1 1	1	l					
			-	4	1	l					
			14.0	I I	1	l					
		ļ		(İ	1	l					
		ļ	-	{	1	l					
		ļ	15.0	I I	1	l					
					1						
1	1	9	1 1	I I	1	1					1



APPENDIX B Laboratory Test Results









APPENDIX C Infiltration Test Results

		Tub	be Permean	neter Te	st Data	1			Job Number:	GS2017025.000
Sample ID:	Profile Pit	No.:	SPP-1	Sample	No.:	<u>T-1</u>	Depth:	1.0'	Client: Lab Tech:	Hammer Land Engineering SEP
COUNTY/MU	NICIPALITY Fra	anklin To	wnship	E	BLOCK		LOT		-	
1. Test Numbe	er	1	Replicate (let	er)	Α	Date Colle	ected		_	
2. Material Te	sted:		Fill	X	Test in N	lative Soil				
3. Type of Sa	mple:	Х	Undisturbed	_		Disturbed				
4. Sample Dir	mensions:		Inside Radius Length of Sar	of Samplen of Samplen of Samplen of Samplen of Sample of Sam	e Tube, F inches	R, in cm	1.91 3.00	-		
5. Bulk Densit	ty Determination	n (Disturb	ed Samples C	only): N/A	Ą					
6. Sample We	eight (Wt. Tube	Containir	ng Sample-Wt.	of Empty	Tube), g	rams	0.00	_		Wt. of Tube Containing Sample Wt. of Empty Tube
7. Sample Vo	lume (L x 2.54 d	cm./inch :	x 3.14R2), cc.				86.83	_		
8. Bulk Densit	ty (Sample Wt./	Sample \	/olume), gram	s/cc.			0	> 1.2		
9. Standpipe	Used:	Х	No		Yes, Ind	licate Interna	al Radius, c	m. N/A		
10. Height of	Water Level Ab	ove Rim	of Test Basin,	in inches:						
,	At the Beginning At the End of Ea	g of Each ach Test	Test Interval, Interval, H2	H1 _	5.00 4.99	0 9				
11. Rate of W	ater Level Drop	o (Add ad	ditional lines if	needed):						
	Time, Start o Interval,	of Test , T1	Time End c Interval	f Test T2	Lengt Interval,	h of Test T, Minutes				
					14	40.00]	*No appre	eciable movement afte	er 24 hours
12. Calculatio	n of Permeabili	ity:	K, (in/hr) = 60	min/hr x ı	r2/R2 x L	.(in)/T(min) ›	- k ln (H1/H2)	T=	1440.00	
ł	K (in/hr) =	0.00	CI	assificati	on:	K0				
13. Defects in	the Sample (C	heck app	propriate items	i:						
<u>د</u>	X No	one								
-	Soil/1	Tube Con	itact	Large Gra	avel		Large Ro	ots		
-	Dry S	Soil	Smea	aring		Compact	ion			
-	Othe	er - Specif	у							

		Tub	oe Permean	neter T	est Data	1			Job Number:	GS2017025.000
Sample ID:	Profile Pit	No.:	SPP-1	Sampl	e No.:	<u>T-1</u>	Depth:	1.0'	Client: Lab Tech:	Hammer Land Engineering SEP
COUNTY/MU	NICIPALITY Fr	ranklin To	wnship		BLOCK		LOT		-	
1. Test Numbe	er	1	Replicate (let	ter)	В	Date Colle	ected		_	
2. Material Te	sted:		Fill	х	Test in N	lative Soil				
3. Type of Sa	mple:	Х	Undisturbed			_Disturbed				
4. Sample Dir	nensions:		Inside Radius Length of Sar	of Samp nple, L, i	ole Tube, f n inches	R, in cm	1.91 3.00	-		
5. Bulk Densit	y Determinatio	on (Disturb	ed Samples C	Only): N	/A					
6. Sample We	eight (Wt. Tube	Containir	ng Sample-Wt	of Empt	y Tube), g	Irams	0.00	_		Wt. of Tube Containing Sample
7. Sample Vo	lume (L x 2.54	cm./inch :	x 3.14R2), cc.				86.83	_		
8. Bulk Densit	y (Sample Wt./	/Sample \	/olume), gram	s/cc.			0	> 1.2		
9. Standpipe	Jsed:	Х	No		Yes, Ind	licate Interna	al Radius, c	m. N/A		
10. Height of	Water Level Ab	oove Rim	of Test Basin,	in inches	S :					
,	At the Beginnin At the End of E	ig of Each ach Test	Test Interval, Interval, H2	H1	5.0 4.9	0 9				
11. Rate of W	ater Level Drop	p (Add ad	ditional lines if	needed)):					
	Time, Start o Interval	of Test I, T1	Time End o Interval	of Test T2	Lengt Interval,	h of Test T, Minutes				
ſ					14	40.00	1	*No appre	eciable movement afte	er 24 hours
							1			
							1			
							1			
12. Calculatio	n of Permeabili	ity:	K, (in/hr) = 60) min/hr x	c r2/R2 x L	.(in)/T(min) >	x In (H1/H2)) T=	1440.00	
ł	< (in/hr) =	0.00	C	assifica	tion:	K0				
13. Defects in	the Sample (C	Check app	ropriate items):						
<u>د</u>	K No	one								
-	Soil/	Tube Con	itact	Large G	ravel		Large Roo	ots		
-	Dry \$	Soil	Smea	aring		Compact	tion			
-	Othe	er - Specif	у							

		Tul	be Permean	neter Te	st Data	1			Job Number:	GS2017025.000
Sample ID:	Profile F	Pit No.:	SPP-2	Sample	No.:	<u>T-1</u>	_Depth:	1.0'	Client: Lab Tech:	: Proposed Warehouse : Hammer Land Engineering : SEP
COUNTY/MUI		Franklin To	wnship	B	BLOCK		LOT		_	
1. Test Numbe	er _	1	Replicate (let	ter)	А	Date Colle	ected		_	
2. Material Te	ested:		_Fill	<u>x</u>	Test in N	lative Soil				
3. Type of Sa	mple:	Х	Undisturbed			Disturbed				
4. Sample Dir	mensions:		Inside Radius Length of Sar	of Sample nple, L, in	e Tube, F inches	R, in cm	1.91 3.00	-		
5. Bulk Densi	ty Determina	tion (Disturl	oed Samples C	Only): N/A	۱.					
6. Sample We	eight (Wt. Tul	be Containi	ng Sample-Wt	of Empty	Tube), g	rams	0.00	_		Wt. of Tube Containing Sample Wt. of Empty Tube
7. Sample Vo	lume (L x 2.5	54 cm./inch	x 3.14R2), cc.				86.83	-		
8. Bulk Densi	ty (Sample W	Vt./Sample V	√olume), gram	s/cc.			0	> 1.2		
9. Standpipe	Used:	Х	No	\	Yes, Ind	licate Interna	al Radius, c	m. N/A		
10. Height of	Water Level	Above Rim	of Test Basin,	in inches:						
	At the Beginr At the End of	ning of Each Each Test	n Test Interval, Interval, H2	H1	5.00 4.99	0 9				
11. Rate of W	ater Level D	rop (Add ac	lditional lines if	needed):						
	Time, Star Interv	rt of Test val, T1	Time End o Interval	of Test T2	Lengt Interval,	h of Test T, Minutes				
Γ					14	40 00	1	*No appr	eciable movement afte	er 24 hours
						10.00				
-										
-							1			
L 12. Calculatio	on of Permeal	bility:	K, (in/hr) = 60) min/hr x r	2/R2 x L	.(in)/T(min) >	⊥ k ln (H1/H2)	T=	1440.00	
I	K (in/hr) =	0.00	C	assificatio	on:	К0				
13. Defects in	the Sample	(Check app	propriate items):						
<u>1</u>	x	None								
	So	oil/Tube Cor	ntact	Large Gra	vel		_Large Roc	ts		
-	Dr	y Soil	Smea	aring		Compact	ion			
-	Ot	her - Speci	īy							

		Tub	be Permear	neter T	est Data	1			Job Number:	GS2017025.000
Sample ID:	Profile Pit	t No.:	SPP-2	Sample	e No.:	<u>T-1</u>	Depth:	1.0'	Client:	Hammer Land Engineering SEP
COUNTY/MU	NICIPALITY F	ranklin To	wnship		BLOCK		LOT		-	
1. Test Numbe	er	1	Replicate (let	ter)	В	Date Colle	ected		-	
2. Material Te	sted:		Fill	х	Test in N	lative Soil				
3. Type of Sa	mple:	Х	Undisturbed	-		_Disturbed				
4. Sample Dir	nensions:		Inside Radius Length of Sa	of Samp nple, L, ii	ole Tube, f n inches	R, in cm	1.91 3.00	-		
5. Bulk Densit	y Determinatio	on (Disturt	oed Samples C	Only): N/	/A					
6. Sample We	eight (Wt. Tube	e Containir	ng Sample-Wt	of Empt	y Tube), g	Irams	0.00	_		Wt. of Tube Containing Sample
7. Sample Vo	lume (L x 2.54	cm./inch	x 3.14R2), cc.				86.83	_		
8. Bulk Densit	y (Sample Wt.	/Sample \	/olume), gram	s/cc.			0	> 1.2		
9. Standpipe	Jsed:	Х	No		Yes, Ind	licate Interna	al Radius, c	m. N/A		
10. Height of	Water Level At	bove Rim	of Test Basin,	in inches	S :					
,	At the Beginnin At the End of E	ng of Each Each Test	i Test Interval, Interval, H2	H1 .	5.0 4.9	0 9				
11. Rate of W	ater Level Dro	p (Add ad	ditional lines if	needed)):					
	Time, Start o Interval	of Test I, T1	Time End o Interval	of Test T2	Lengt Interval,	h of Test T, Minutes				
					14	40.00]	*No appre	ciable movement afte	er 24 hours
12. Calculatio	n of Permeabil	lity:	K, (in/hr) = 60) min/hr x	c r2/R2 x L	.(in)/T(min) ›	x In (H1/H2)	T=	1440.00	
ł	K (in/hr) =	0.00	C	assificat	tion:	K0				
13. Defects in	the Sample (C	Check app	propriate items):						
<u>د</u>	<u>K</u> N	one								
-	Soil/	Tube Cor	ntact	Large G	ravel		Large Roo	ots		
-	Dry 3	Soil	Smea	aring		Compact	tion			
-	Othe	er - Specif	у							

		Tube	e Permeameter	Fest Data	1			Job Number:	GS2017025.000
Sample ID:	Profile Pit No	o.: <u>S</u>	SPP-3 Samp	le No.:	<u>T-1</u>	Depth:	1.0'	Client:	Hammer Land Engineering SEP
COUNTY/MUN	NICIPALITY Fran	nklin Towr	nship	BLOCK		LOT			
1. Test Numbe	er	<u>1</u> R	Replicate (letter)	Α	Date Colle	ected		-	
2. Material Te	sted:	F	Fill X	Test in N	lative Soil				
3. Type of Sa	mple:	<u>x</u> _u	Jndisturbed		Disturbed				
4. Sample Dir	nensions:	lr L	nside Radius of Sam ength of Sample, L,	iple Tube, I in inches	R, in cm	1.91 3.00	-		
5. Bulk Densit	y Determination ((Disturbe	ed Samples Only): N	I/A					
6. Sample We	eight (Wt. Tube Co	ontaining	g Sample-Wt. of Emp	ity Tube), g	Irams	0.00	_		Wt. of Tube Containing Sample
7. Sample Vo	lume (L x 2.54 cm	n./inch x 3	3.14R2), cc.			86.83	_		
8. Bulk Densit	y (Sample Wt./Sa	ample Vo	olume), grams/cc.			0	> 1.2		
9. Standpipe	Jsed:	<u>X</u> N	No	Yes, Ind	licate Interna	al Radius, c	m. N/A		
10. Height of	Water Level Abov	ve Rim of	f Test Basin, in inche	IS:					
ļ	At the Beginning o At the End of Eac	of Each T ch Test Inf	Fest Interval, H1 iterval, H2	5.0 4.9	0 9				
11. Rate of W	ater Level Drop (A	Add addi	itional lines if needeo	I):					
	Time, Start of Time, Start of T	Test T1	Time End of Test Interval T2	Lengt Interval,	h of Test T, Minutes				
Γ				14	40.00	1	*No appre	ciable movement afte	er 24 hours
						1			
						1			
-						1			
L 12. Calculatio	n of Permeability:	: к	<, (in/hr) = 60 min/hr	x r2/R2 x L	(in)/T(min) ›	⊥ x In (H1/H2)) T=	1440.00	
ł	K (in/hr) = 0	0.00	Classifica	ation:	K0				
13. Defects in	the Sample (Che	eck appro	opriate items):						
<u>></u>	K None	e							
-	Soil/Tu	ube Conta	actLarge (Gravel		Large Ro	ots		
-	Dry So	oil	Smearing		Compact	tion			
-	Other -	- Specify							

		Tub	e Permeam	eter Test Da	ata			Job Number:	GS2017025.000
Sample ID:	Profile Pit N	No.:	SPP-3	Sample No.:	<u>T-1</u>	Depth:	1.0'	Client: Lab Tech:	Hammer Land Engineering SEP
COUNTY/MUN	NICIPALITY Fra	Inklin To	wnship	BLOCK	<	LOT			
1. Test Numbe	er	1	Replicate (lett	er) B	Date Coll	ected			
2. Material Te	sted:		Fill	X Test ir	n Native Soil				
3. Type of Sa	mple:	х	Undisturbed		Disturbed	1			
4. Sample Dir	nensions:		Inside Radius Length of San	of Sample Tub ople, L, in inche	e, R, in cm s	1.91 3.00	_		
5. Bulk Densit	y Determination	(Disturb	ed Samples O	nly): N/A					
6. Sample We	eight (Wt. Tube C	Containir	ng Sample-Wt.	of Empty Tube	, grams	0.00	_		Wt. of Tube Containing Sample
7. Sample Vo	lume (L x 2.54 ci	m./inch >	x 3.14R2), cc.			86.83	_		
8. Bulk Densit	y (Sample Wt./S	Sample V	/olume), grams	/cc.		0	> 1.2		
9. Standpipe	Jsed:	х	No	Yes,	ndicate Intern	al Radius, o	cm. N/A		
10. Height of	Water Level Abo	ove Rim	of Test Basin, i	n inches:					
ļ	At the Beginning At the End of Eac	of Each ch Test I	Test Interval, Interval, H2	H1 <u>5</u>	5.00 1.99				
11. Rate of W	ater Level Drop	(Add add	ditional lines if	needed):					
	Time, Start of Interval,	Test T1	Time End o Interval	f Test Lei T2 Interv	ngth of Test ral, T, Minutes				
Γ					1440.00	1	*No appre	ciable movement afte	er 24 hours
						1			
-									
L 12. Calculatio	n of Permeability	y:	K, (in/hr) = 60	min/hr x r2/R2	x L(in)/T(min)	x In (H1/H2) T=	1440.00	
ł	K (in/hr) =	0.00	Cla	assification:	K0				
13. Defects in	the Sample (Ch	neck app	ropriate items)	:					
<u>></u>	K Nor	ne							
-	Soil/Tu	ube Con	tact	Large Gravel _		Large Ro	ots		
-	Dry So	oil	Smea	ring	Compac	tion			
-	Other	- Specify	у						



APPENDIX D Supplemental Information (USCS, Terms & Symbols)



2430 HIGHWAY 34 BUILDING B, SUITE 101 MANASQUAN, NJ 08736 732.592.2101 whitestoneassoc.com

UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

	MAJOR DIVISIONS		LETTER SYMBOL	TYPICAL DESCRIPTIONS
	GRAVEL AND	CLEAN GRAVELS	GW	WELL-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES
	GRAVELLY SOILS	(LITTLE OR NO FINES)	GP	POORLY-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL- SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES
COARSE GRAINED SOILS	MORE THAN 50% OF	GRAVELS WITH FINES	GM	SILTY GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND-SILT MIXTURES
	RETAINED ON NO. 4 SIEVE	AMOUNT OF FINES)	GC	CLAYEY GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND-CLAY MIXTURES
	SAND AND SANDY	CLEAN SAND (LITTLE OR NO	SW	WELL-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE OR NO FINES
	SOILS	FINES)	SP	POORLY-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE OR NO FINES
MORE THAN	MORE THAN 50% OF	SANDS WITH	SM	SILTY SANDS, SAND-SILT MIXTURES
50% OF MATERIAL IS LARGER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE	COARSE FRACTION PASSING NO. 4 SIEVE	FINES (APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)	SC	CLAYEY SANDS, SAND-CLAY MIXTURES
FINE	SILTS	LIQUID LIMITS	ML	INORGANIC SILTS AND VERY FINE SANDS, ROCK FLOUR, SILTY OR CLAYEY FINE SANDS OR CLAYEY SILTS WITH SLIGHT PLASTICITY
GRAINED SOILS	AND CLAYS	<u>LESS</u> THAN 50	CL	INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY, GRAVELLY CLAYS, SANDY CLAYS, SILTY CLAYS, LEAN CLAYS
			OL	ORGANIC SILTS AND ORGANIC SILTY CLAYS OF LOW PLASTICITY
MORE THAN 50% OF			МН	INORGANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMACEOUS FINE SAND OR SILTY SOILS
MATERIAL IS <u>SMALLER</u> THAN NO. 200 SIEVE	SILTS AND CLAYS	LIQUID LIMITS <u>GREATER</u> THAN 50	СН	INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY, FAT CLAYS
SIZE			ОН	ORGANIC CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY, ORGANIC SILTS
ŀ	HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS		PT	PEAT, HUMUS, SWAMP SOILS WITH HIGH ORGANIC CONTENTS

NOTE: DUAL SYMBOLS ARE USED TO INDICATE BORDERLINE SOIL CLASSIFICATIONS FOR SAMPLES WITH 5% TO 12% FINES

GRADATION*

COMPACTNESS* Sand and/or Gravel

% FINER BY WEIGHT

 d and/or Gravel RELATIVE

DENSITY

10%	LOOSE	0% TO	40%
20%	MEDIUM DENSE.	40% TO	70%
35%	DENSE	70% TO	90%
50%	VERY DENSE	90% TO ′	100%

CONSISTENCY* Clay and/or Silt

RANGE OF SHEARING STRENGTH IN POUNDS PER SQUARE FOOT

* VALUES ARE FROM LABORATORY OR FIELD TEST DATA, WHERE APPLICABLE. WHEN NO TESTING WAS PERFORMED, VALUES ARE ESTIMATED.

L:\Geotechnical Forms and References\Reports\USCSTRMSSYM NJ-Wall.docx

WARREN, NJ
908.668.7777

CHALFONT, PA 215.712.2700

Other Office Locations:

Southborough, MA 508.485.0755 ROCKY HILL, CT 860.726.7889 EVERGREEN, CO 303.670.6905



GEOTECHNICAL TERMS AND SYMBOLS

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION

The Unified Soil Classification System is used to identify the soil unless otherwise noted.

SOIL PROPERTY SYMBOLS

- N: Standard Penetration Value: Blows per ft. of a 140 lb. hammer falling 30" on a 2" O.D. split-spoon.
- Qu: Unconfined compressive strength, TSF.
- Qp: Penetrometer value, unconfined compressive strength, TSF.
- Mc: Moisture content, %.
- LL: Liquid limit, %.
- PI: Plasticity index, %.
- δd: Natural dry density, PCF.
- •: Apparent groundwater level at time noted after completion of boring.

DRILLING AND SAMPLING SYMBOLS

- NE: Not Encountered (Groundwater was not encountered).
- SS: Split-Spoon 1 ³/₈" I.D., 2" O.D., except where noted.
- ST: Shelby Tube 3" O.D., except where noted.
- AU: Auger Sample.
- OB: Diamond Bit.
- CB: Carbide Bit
- WS: Washed Sample.

RELATIVE DENSITY AND CONSISTENCY CLASSIFICATION

Term (Non-C	<u>ohesive Soils)</u>		Standard Pe	enetrati	on Resistance
Very Loose Loose				0- 4-1	4
Medium Dense	e			10-	30
Dense Verse Dense				30-	50
very Dense				Over	50
<u>Term (Cohesi</u>	ve Soils)	Qu (TSF)			
Very Soft		0 - 0.25			
Soft		0.25 - 0.50			
Firm (Medium)	0.50 - 1.00			
Stiff		1.00 - 2.00			
Very Stiff		2.00 - 4.00			
Hard		4.00 +			
PARTICLE S	SIZE				
Boulders	8 in.+	Coarse Sand	5mm-0.6mm	Silt	0.074mm-0.005mm
Cobbles	8 in3 in.	Medium Sand	0.6mm-0.2mm	Clay	-0.005mm
Gravel	3 in5mm	Fine Sand	0.2mm-0.074mm	2	

L:\Geotechnical Forms and References\Reports\USCSTRMSSYM NJ-Wall.docx

WARREN, NJ	
908.668.7777	

CHALFONT, PA 215.712.2700

Other Office Locations:

Southborough, MA 508.485.0755 ROCKY HILL, CT 860.726.7889