FOR OFFIC	CIAL USE ONLY	
	Planning Board	Zoning Board of Adjustment
Name of Ap	plication:	Docket Number :
	P	ART A
APPLICA	NT REQUESTS THE FOLLOWING:	
proposed	n detail sufficient for the Board to under application and proposed physical modifi the proposed use of the premises.	stand the nature of the proposal, the exact nature of the ications to be made to the site, building(s) and/or signage
The applic	cant's proposal is the same proposal that wa	as approved by the Planning Board in the resolution adopted
proposed	odivision approval to create an additional res	nt is proposing to reinstate those approvals which include sidential building lot along with a lot frontage variance for the uired) and a side yard setback variance for the Bilco doors proposed; 8 feet required)
Identify th	-	
	all breation of the broading	of the Development Ordinance would result in peculiar or exceptional and undue hardship - N.J.S.A 40:55D-
Č	Land or and targether pulle Ca	e Law would be advanced by a deviation from the zoning fits of the deviation would substantially outweigh any
☐ "D" Var	riance(s):	
L	Use or principal structure in a district N.J.S.A 40:55D-70.D(1)	ct restricted against such use or principal structure -
	Expansion of a nonconforming use - N.J	.S.A., 40:55D-70D(2)
L	40:55D-70. D(3)	dard pertaining solely to a conditional use - N.J.S.A
	Increase in the permitted floor area rati	o - N.J.S.A 40:55D-70.D(4)
ä	Increase in the permitted density - N.J.S Height of a principal structure exceeds	.A 40:55D-70.D(5) by 10 feet or 10% the maximum height permitted in the
	district for a principal structure - N.J.S.	A., 40:55D-70.D(6)

Identify requested variances from the requirements of the Franklin Township Land Development Ordinance: Ordinance Section Requirement Proposed Deviation \$112-10 Schedule 2 lot frontage 100 ft 66.06 ft

Acquirement Acquirement		Proposed Deviation
§112- 10 Schedule 2 lot frontage 100 ft		66.06 ft
§112- 10 Schedule 2 side yard setback 8 ft		4 ft
§112-		
§112-		
§112		
\$112		
\$112-		
	PART B	
APPLICANT: Individual	Partnership	Corporation
APPLICANT: Owner Applicant	OtherLLC	:
Name_Balaji Property Solutions LLC		
treet Address_ 17 KOSH LAWE		Apt./Ste/Unit#
City_EAST BRUNSWICK	StateNJ	Zip Code O88/L
Phone		
Cmail		
OWNER (if different from Applicant):		
Same as applicant		
treet Address		Apt./Ste/Unit #
lity		
hone	Fax	
mail		

PART C

Note: "*" indicates not required in association with signage variances

SUBJECT PROPERTY:

Block/s73.01	Lot/s	36		Zone	R-10		
Street Address 23 Wortman Street, S	omerset						
City Franklin Township	State	NJ		Zip Code	08873		
Approximate Site Size * 0.7738		_Acres/	33,708		_Sq. ft.		
Present use of the property, specify:	vacant struc	cture					
Proposed use of the property, specify:	2 residenti	ial building lots					
Public water available:*	🗡 Yes	□ No	If not	t, proposed?	Yes No		
Public sanitary sewer available: *	Yes Yes	□ No	If not	t, proposed?	Yes No		
Describe any off tract improvement required or proposed*n/a							
Deed restrictions, covenants, easements, association by-laws: Yes (Provide a copy) No Proposed (Must be submitted for review) Does the applicant own any contiguous property?* Yes No If yes, state the address, block and lot of such property: *							
Has there been any previous appeal, re this property?	equest, or ap	oplication to this	or any other		Boards involving		
If, yes, state type, docket number, the na	ature and da	ate of such appeal					
Minor subdivision, variance and other app	provals grant	ted in Docket No P	PLN-16-00004	in a resoluti	on adopted on		
11/9/2016, a copy of which is attached;	applicant is	seeking identical a	pprovals that	were not per	fected		
How long has the present owner had titl	e to this pro	perty? *4 ye	ears				
Is the property under contract to be sole	i ?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	•			
If yes, state the date of contract and nan	ne of the cor	itract purchaser:	n/a				

PART D

Identify if the application is proposed to be bifurcated (i.e., variances identified herein sought at this time with approval for other development approvals, such as subdivision and/or site plan approval, to be sought at a later date): Yes No
If not bifurcated, identify the associated development approvals sought at this time (check all that apply): Waiver of Site Plan Minor Site Plan Preliminary Site Plan Final Site Plan Minor Subdivision Preliminary Major Subdivision Final Major Subdivision Conditional Use Approval Other(s) (Specify: Note: Applicant must consult the respective Instruction Sheet(s) and Submission Checklist(s) for the associated development approvals being sought at this time. The submission will not be deemed an Application for Development unless all required submissions are provided for all requested development approvals.
If bifurcated, identify the nature of subsequent development approvals to be sought:
For signage variances indicate the following: Single Tenant Building Multiple Tenant Building
PART E
C Variance(s):
The strict application of the provisions of the Development Ordinance would result in peculiar and exceptional practical difficulties or exceptional and undue hardship under N.J.S.A 40:55D-70.C(1) List in detail wherein this case conforms to this requirement, including, if applicable, reference to exceptional narrowness, shallowness or shape of the property, or exceptional topographic conditions or physical features
uniquely affecting the property, or extraordinary and exceptional situation uniquely affecting the property or the structures lawfully existing thereon.
and/or

The purposes of the Municipal Land Use Law would be advanced by a deviation from the zoning ordinance requirements and the benefits of the deviation would substantially outweigh any detriment under N.J.S.A 40:55D-70.C(2).
List in detail wherein this case conforms to this requirement:
D Variance(s):
State why the property is particularly suitable for the proposed use, including any inherently beneficial conditions and/or any undue hardship, if any, showing that the property cannot reasonably be adapted to a conforming use:
C and D Variance(s):
Supply a statement of facts why relief can be granted without substantial detriment to the public good.
Supply a statement of facts why relief can be granted without substantial detriment to the intent and purpose of the zone plan and zoning ordinance.
SEE RIDER

RIDER TO VARIANCE APPLICATION BALAJI PROPERTY SOLUTIONS LLC BL 73.01, Lot 36 – 23 Wortman Street

PART E - C Variances Strict application:

The Board has previously found that the location of the existing historic structure on the lot presents a practical difficulty which prevents the lot from being subdivided to provide a more conforming lot frontage for lot 36.01. If the proposed lot line is moved to the south, then the historic structure would have to be removed (see finding of fact and conclusion #7 in the Board of Adjustment Resolution in Docket #PLN-16-00004 adopted November 9, 2016). In addition, the lot area variance for lot 36.01 is justified to provide a more conforming lot frontage for that lot. Once again, if the proposed lot line is moved to the south, then the historic structure would have to be removed.

The third variance for the setback for the bilko doors and the basement steps can be similarly granted under the hardship theory set forth herein, in that the location of the bilko doors and basement steps represent the same practical difficulty as set forth herein. With respect to the current application, there is no change in circumstances or condition of the property that would alter the Board's prior conclusions in the resolution adopted 11/9/16 in Docket #PLN-16-00004.

Purposes of MLUL would be advanced by a deviation:

In the prior resolution (Docket #PLN-16-0004 adopted 11/9/16), the Board found and concluded in finding #8 that there will be a significant benefit to the Township of Franklin by retaining the existing historic structure. In addition, approval of the application would convert a nonconforming use to a conforming use (public schools are not a permitted use in the R-10 zone) by utilizing the footprint of the structure as it is. The proposal eliminates the commercial aspect of the property by removing the parking lot and turning it into a residential driveway. With respect to the current application, there is no change in circumstances or condition of the property that would alter the Board's prior conclusions in the resolution adopted 11/9/16 in Docket #PLN-16-00004.

Statement of facts why relief can be granted w/o substantial detriment:

In finding #8 of the prior resolution (Docket #PLN-16-00004 adopted 11/9/16), the Board perceived no detriment to the public good in granting the lot frontage variance and further noted that proposed lot 36.01 is trapezoidal in shape whereby the lot is 66.06 feet in width at the street line but then proceeds to become wider further into the lot. The Board's condition requiring the new home on lot 36.01 to be placed in a manner that is equal to the front yard setback with the existing structure on proposed lot 36.02 at a point in that lot that is significantly wider than the variance granted. This finding permitted the Board to conclude that on balance, the benefit substantially outweighs any detriment, the Board having seen none. In short, the Board found that granting the variance is a superior zoning alternative than mandating compliance with the lot frontage standard. The Board further concluded in finding #9 that the variance for the setback for the Bilko doors and the basement steps can be similarly granted under the flexible C2 analysis.

The same benefit/detriment analysis can be utilized to justify the side yard setback variance as well. The Board concluded it is a better zoning alternative to grant a nominal side yard setback variance for the Bilco doors and basement steps that are hardly visible than the alternative of further reducing the lot frontage of proposed lot 36.01.

All of the reasons set forth in the 11/9/16 resolution also justify the granting of the lot area variance. With respect to the current application, there is no change in circumstances or condition of the property that would alter the Board's prior conclusions in the resolution adopted 11/9/16 in Docket #PLN-16-00004.

Statement why relief can be granted w/o substantial detriment to zone plan/ordinance:

In finding #10 of the prior resolution (Docket #PLN-16-00004 adopted 11/9/16), the Board determined that the granting of the lot frontage variance will not be inconsistent with the character of the surrounding neighborhood. The Board noted that there is a wide range of lot frontages in the surrounding neighborhood including, but not limited to, undersized width lots including lots 8, 33 and 34 in block 73.01 on Wortman Street and lots 2 and 3 in block 69 on Welsh's Lane. In finding #11, the Board determined that provided all conditions of the approval were met, all variances could be granted without substantial detriment to the intent and purpose of the land development ordinance of the Township of Franklin. With respect to the current application, there is no change in circumstances or condition of the property that would alter the Board's prior conclusions in the resolution adopted 11/9/16 in Docket #PLN-16-00004.

PART F

LIST OF PLAN	S, REPORTS A	AND OTHER M	LATERIA	ALS SUB	MITTED: SEE	ATTACK	157
Quantity:	Description	of Item:					
	e ⁰ :						
	-						
			Th A The				
CONTACT PER	SON INFORM	ATION CED	PAR				
The person indicand Zoning and	cated below sho shall be the so below, the App	all serve as the le recipient of o licant and Own	point-of- official co ner certify	-contact v rrespond v that the	with the Townshi ence from the De at the party listed ment.	enartment.	Ry signing this
Owner	Applicant	Attorney	☐ Er	igineer	Architect	Other	
Name Francis	P. Linnus, Esq.						
Street Address _	21 Clyde Road	1			Apt./Ste	/Unit#_Su	ite 101
CitySomerset			State_	NJ		Zip Code_	08873
Phone 732-87	73-0500			Fax _	732-873-0505		
mail fplesq@	comcast.net						

PART H

APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION	
I PRAVEEN KUMAR of full age hei	ng duly sworn according to law and upon my eath,
depose that: I reside at 17 KOCH (A) MIDDLESEX and State of	WE. E. SAUSWICK in the County of
	pers appended thereto are true. I further certify that I
	e partnership applicant, or an officer of the corporate
applicant and I am authorized to sign the application fo	
Sworn to and subscribed before	
me this 4 day of	A. Praveey Kum
	APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE
Caro Acano filts	
NOTARY PUBLIC ON COMMISSION EXPIRES ON 105/2025	
1 - 6 - 17 0 1 10 0 10 11 11 12 3 7 - 0 7 -	
OWNER'S CERTIFICATION	
(If the owner is a corporation, this section must be signe	d by an authorized corporate officer. If the owner is a
partnership, this section must be signed by a general par	
I, H PRAVEEN KUMAR, of full age, being	ng duly sworn according to law and upon my oath
depose that: I reside at 14 KOCH LANE MIDDLESEX and State of	E BRUNSWICK in the County of
and State of	, and that the above
statements contained in this application and in the paper	
am the owner of the property which is the subject of	
authorized the applicant to make this application,	
representations made and the decision in the same mann	er as if I were the applicant.
	AR
Sworn to and subscribed before	A Provecy Ideum.
me this 24 day of	OWNER'S SIGNATURE
FEB , 20 2	
Lord Arong Pelt	
NOTARY PUBLIC	
NOTARY PUBLIC OF COMMISSION EXPIRES 00/05/2025	

BALAJI PROPERTY SOLUTIONS LLC MINOR SUBDIVISION W/VARIANCES BL 73.01, LOT 36 – 23 WORTMAN STREET

LIST OF PLANS, REPORTS AND OTHER MATERIALS SUBMITTED

25 Application for Subdivisi	ision
------------------------------	-------

- 2 Subdivision Details & Submission Checklist w/waiver requests
- 25 Variance Application
- Variance Submission Checklist w/waiver requests
 Proof of submission to outside agencies
 Disclosure Statement
 Tax Certification
- Minor Subdivision Plan dated 7/21/20 consisting of 2 sheets prepared by David A Stires Assoc CD containing pdf copies of all applications, plans, supporting documents